SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA COMMUNITY AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE BOARD OF GOVERNORS SCP-2218.A

Evaluation and Goal Planning Document

(Due by Faculty Convocation each Fall Semester October 1)

		Academic Year:
Γitle:	Division	1:
and Academic Deans wi		sibilities, full-time faculty members, Director criteria" in each of the following categories clemic year.
Describe the activities in	each criterion planned during the acad	demic year. Attach additional pages if needed
GOAL #1		
1		ı
What evaluation cr	iterion does this goal support? Se	elect all that apply.
What evaluation cr	iterion does this goal support? Se	elect all that apply.
[] Teaching	9 22	[] Service
[] Teaching	[] Scholarship	[] Service
[] Teaching	[] Scholarship	[] Service
[] Teaching	[] Scholarship	[] Service

rion does this goal support? Sel	ect all that apply.	
[] Scholarship	[] Service	
need from the College to achiev	e this goal?	
rion does this goal support? Sel	ect all that apply.	
[] Scholarship	[] Service	
	[] Scholarship need from the College to achiev rion does this goal support? Sele	rion does this goal support? Select all that apply.

Faculty Member Signature	Date
Director Signature	Date
Academic Dean Signature	

$Full-time\ Faculty\ Self-Evaluation\ Form$

(Due by March 15)

Name:	Academic Year:
Title:	Division:
them in preparing yearly evaluations significant accomplishments in categ	de Academic Deans and/or Directors with information that will assist of full-time faculty members. Full-time faculty members should list cories 1 and 2. Also, list accomplishments in the "additional criteria" and Goal Planning Document prepared at the beginning of the academic ed.
Classroom teaching performance List significant activities or accompast academic year.	enplishments that demonstrate teaching effectiveness during the
	uding advising and student relations)
	g responsibilities and list any significant activities or iterion during the past academic year.
•	ness during the past academic year. Details may include a development, innovative use of technology, etc.
	development, innovative use of teelmology, etc.

Scholarship	
List any scholarship or professional development activities completed of	during the past academic
year.	
Service	
Provide information related to service to the department, college, profe	ssion or the community
as it relates to your role as faculty.	ssion, of the community
as it relates to your role as faculty.	
	1
A 1324 1 C (41)	
Additional Comments (optional)	
Faculty Member Signature Da	nte

Full-Time Faculty Evaluation Form

(Due by May 15)

Name:			Aca	demic Y	Year: _	
Title/Rank:			Evaluator: _			
Evaluation Cri	teria and Scale					
A. Classroom (Observation	30%				
B. Averaged St	tudent Evaluations	5%				
C. Institutional	Responsibility	15%				
D. Additional (Criteria					
1. Teac	ching	20%				
2. Scho	olarship	15%				
3. Serv	rice	15%				
Total		100%				
Rating Scale:						
	4	3	2		1	

4 – **Exceeds Expectations:** Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.

Could

Improve

Unsatisfactory

Meets

Expectations

- **3 Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 Unsatisfactory: Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

Exceeds

Expectations

Evaluation Criteria:

Evaluator will			Score: ation Checklist, as well as a narrative vation.
Evaluator will	Student Evaluation attach written commorevious two (2) seme	ents related to the fact	Score: ulty member's average student evaluation
Evaluator will of assigned or include, but ar	presumed duties, bas e not limited to, the ti	ed on their role. (Examely submission of sy	Score: ts on the faculty member's performance mples of institutional responsibilities may reliable, attendance verification, and grades; other duties as assigned.)
	attach a narrative an		ts regarding the faculty member's the Evaluation Goal Planning Document:
1.	Teaching	Score:	
2.	Scholarship	Score:	
3.	Service	Score:	
Institutional	Responsibility is de	fined as performing a	ssigned or presumed duties according to

Institutional Responsibility is defined as performing assigned or presumed duties according to one's role at the college. These activities support and advance the mission of Southern to enhance the effective functioning of the college, including the business processes (i.e., advising students, adherence to Southern policies and procedures, collegiality, administrative duties, departmental supervision or assigned college community leadership duties, additional duties as assigned). If an activity does not otherwise fit into Teaching, Scholarship, or Service, and the activity is jobrelated, then it should be counted in the Institutional Responsibility criterion.

Teaching is defined as responsibilities directly related to the instruction of students, including classroom instruction, supervision, and facilitation of capstone experiences. Evidence of the level of quality of instruction includes personal reflections about teaching, student evaluations (including statistical analysis of performance), peer evaluations, and curriculum/program/course/materials development.

Scholarship includes activities specifically associated with the faculty member's formally recognized area of expertise. It should be noted that scholarly involvement contributes to one's profession on a state, regional, national, or international level and advances the mission and goals of the college. Scholarship may be viewed broadly and goes well beyond the traditional forms of publication and presentation. Collaborative research and publication are valued and encouraged by colleagues and/or students. State and local presentations and publications are also of value.

Service is defined as contributions to the profession, institution, department, and community.

Overall Evaluation Score and Scale

Transfer the corresponding criterion score into the table below. Calculate the weight allocated to determine the weighted score for that criterion. Total the weighted scores for all criteria to determine the overall evaluation score.

	Score		Weighted Score
E. Classroom Observation		× 0.30	
F. Averaged Student Evaluations		× 0.05	
G. Institutional Responsibility		× 0.15	
H. Additional Criteria			
4. Teaching		× 0.20	
5. Scholarship		× 0.15	
6. Service		× 0.15	
Total			

Overall Rating Scale

3.50 - 4.00	Exceeds Expectations
2.50 - 3.49	Meets Expectations
1.50 - 2.49	Needs Improvement*
0.00 - 1.49	Unsatisfactory*

^{*} Requires Performance Improvement Plan

Employee Response to Evaluation Summary

The purpose of this form is to provide the employee being evaluated an opportunity to respond to statements made in the evaluation.

Employee Response:		
[] I do not wish to respond to the evaluation.		
Faculty Member Signature	Date	
Director Signature	Date	
Academic Dean Signature	Date	

Classroom Observation Form

Name:			Acad	demic Year:	
Title/Rank:			Evaluator: _		
Course Prefix/N	lumber:	Date	of Visit:		
Location of Vis	it (Campus/Room	ı, Off-Site, Zoom	, Online):		
Rating Scale:					
	4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory	

- **4 Exceeds Expectations:** Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.
- 3 **Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 Unsatisfactory: Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

LECTURE OBSERVATION CHECKLIST (F2F, HyFlex, or Synchronous Online)

Exceeds Meets Needs Expectations Expectations Improve Unsatisfactory
--

	4	3	2	1
Class structure and format appear well organized				
Provides an overview of objectives for course topics being covered				
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter				
Utilizes multiple teaching techniques				
Involves students in the learning process through input, encouragement, and feedback				
Encourages classroom discussion and questions from students				
Employs other tools/instructional aids (i.e. technology, computer, video, PowerPoint, etc.)				

Total Score:/ 7 =(Average Score)				
Evaluator Comments:				
Faculty Member Signature	Date			
Evaluator Signature	Date			

LABORATORY OBSERVATION CHECKLIST

4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory
		ment	

	4	3	2	1	
Maintains an effective laboratory					
Develops and maintains adequate resource material					
Relates laboratory experience to lecture and/or clinicals					
Provides appropriate feedback to students					
Communicates appropriate safety protocols					
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter					
Explains concepts clearly					
Models proper laboratory techniques					
Directs student cleanup of laboratory equipment and workspace					

Total Score:/ 9 =(Average Score)		
Evaluator Comments:		
Evaluator Comments.		

ONLINE COURSE OBSERVATION CHECKLIST (Asynchronous Online)

4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory
		ment	

	4	3	2	1
Course structure, including learning resources, assignments, and instructions are well organized and clearly stated				
Syllabus and learning objectives are available and clearly stated				
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter				
Sets clear expectations and due dates				
Responds to students in a timely and professional manner				
Actively participates in the course, encourages questions, and provides appropriate feedback				
Utilizes a variety of teaching tools and techniques appropriate for distance learning				
Provides resources and instructional materials that are accessible and usable				
Course is free of errors and dead links				

Total Score:/ 9 =	(Average Score)
Evaluator Comments:	

Adjunct and Dual Credit Faculty Evaluation Form

(Due by the end of each semester)

Name:			Acad	demic Year:	
Title:			Evaluator: _		
Evaluation Cri	teria and Scale				
C. Institutional <i>Total</i>	Observation tudent Evaluatior Responsibility	75% as 5% 20% 100%			
Rating Scale:					
	4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs	1 Unsatisfactory	

4 – **Exceeds Expectations:** Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.

ment

- **3 Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 **Unsatisfactory:** Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

Evaluation Criteria:

A. Classroom Teaching Performance

Score:	

Evaluator will attach the appropriate Classroom Observation Checklist, as well as a narrative and/or written comments regarding the classroom observation.

B. Averaged Student Evaluation Results

Score:

Evaluator will attach written comments related to the faculty member's average student evaluation score for the previous two (2) semesters.

C. Institutional Responsibility

Saara	
Score:	

Evaluator will attach a narrative and/or written comments on the faculty member's performance of assigned or presumed duties based on their role, including but not limited to adherence to policies and procedures, administrative duties, and other similar duties as assigned.

Institutional Responsibility is defined as performing assigned or presumed duties according to one's role at the college. These activities support and advance the mission of Southern to enhance the effective functioning of the college-including the business processes (i.e., advising students, adherence to Southern policies and procedures, collegiality, administrative duties, departmental supervision or assigned college community leadership duties, additional duties as assigned). If an activity does not otherwise fit into Teaching, Scholarship, or Service, and the activity is job-related, then it should be counted in the Institutional Responsibility criterion.

Overall Evaluation Score and Scale

Transfer the corresponding criterion score into the table below. Calculate the weight allocated to determine the weighted score for that criterion. Total the weighted scores for all criteria to determine the overall evaluation score.

			Weighted Score
	Score		Score
D. Classroom Observation		× 0.75	
E. Averaged Student Evaluations		× 0.05	
F. Institutional Responsibility		× 0.20	
Total			

Overall Rating Scale

3.50 - 4.00	Exceeds Expectations
2.50 - 3.49	Meets Expectations
1.50 - 2.49	Needs Improvement*
0.00 - 1.49	Unsatisfactory*

^{*} Requires Performance Improvement Plan

Employee Response to Evaluation Summary

The purpose of this form is to provide the employee being evaluated an opportunity to respond to statements made in the evaluation.

Employee Response:		
[] I do not wish to respond to the evaluation.		
Faculty Member Signature	Date	
Director Signature	 Date	
Academic Dean Signature	Date	