SOUTHERN WEST VIRGINIA COMMUNITY AND TECHNICAL COLLEGE BOARD OF GOVERNORS SCP-2218.A

Evaluation and Goal Planning Document

(Due by October 1)

Name:		Academic Year:
Title:	Division:	
and Academic Deans wil		bilities, full-time faculty members, Director riteria" in each of the following categories of the year.
Describe the activities in	each criterion planned during the acade	emic year. Attach additional pages if needed.
GOAL #1		
What evaluation cr	iterion does this goal support? Sel	ect all that apply.
[] Teaching	[] Scholarship	[] Service
What support do yo	ou need from the College to achiev	re this goal?

GOAL #2		
What evaluation crit	terion does this goal support? Sel	ect all that apply.
[] Teaching	[] Scholarship	[] Service
GOAL #3	u need from the College to achiev	c tins goar.
What evaluation crit [] Teaching	erion does this goal support? Sel	ect all that apply.
	u need from the College to achiev	. 41. * 10

Faculty Member Signature	Date
Director Signature	Date
Academic Dean Signature	

$Full-time\ Faculty\ Self-Evaluation\ Form$

(Due by March 15)

Name:	Academic Year:
Title:	Division:
them in preparing yearly evaluatio significant accomplishments in cat	vide Academic Deans and/or Directors with information that will assist ons of full-time faculty members. Full-time faculty members should list regories 1 and 2. Also, list accomplishments in the "additional criteria" in and Goal Planning Document prepared at the beginning of the academic ded.
Classroom teaching performat List significant activities or accepast academic year.	nce omplishments that demonstrate teaching effectiveness during the
	acluding advising and student relations)
	ing responsibilities and list any significant activities or criterion during the past academic year.
•	veness during the past academic year. Details may include um development, innovative use of technology, etc.

Scholarship
List any scholarship or professional development activities completed during the past academic
year.
Service
Provide information related to service to the department, college, profession, or the community
as it relates to your role as faculty.
Additional Comments (optional)
Faculty Member Signature Date

Full-Time Faculty Evaluation Form

(Due by May 15)

Name:			Acad	demic Y	ear:	
Title/Rank:			Evaluator: _			
Evaluation Cri	teria and Scale					
A. Classroom (Observation	30%				
B. Averaged St	tudent Evaluations	5%				
C. Institutional	Responsibility	15%				
D. Additional (Criteria					
1. Teac	ching	20%				
2. Scho	olarship	15%				
3. Serv	rice	15%				
Total		100%				
Rating Scale:						
	4	3	2		1	

4 – Exceeds Expectations: Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.

Could

Improve

Unsatisfactory

Meets

Expectations

- 3 **Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 Unsatisfactory: Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

Exceeds

Expectations

Evaluation Criteria:

Evaluator will	n Teaching Perforn attach the appropriation comments regarding	te Classroom Obser	Score:vation Checklist, as well as a narrative rvation.
Evaluator will	Student Evaluation attach written commorevious two (2) semonerer	nents related to the fa	Score: culty member's average student evaluation
Evaluator will of assigned or include, but ar	presumed duties, base not limited to, the ti	sed on their role. (Ex imely submission of	Score: nts on the faculty member's performance amples of institutional responsibilities may syllabi, attendance verification, and grades; of other duties as assigned.)
	attach a narrative ar		nts regarding the faculty member's the Evaluation Goal Planning Document:
1.	Teaching	Score:	
2.	Scholarship	Score:	
3.	Service	Score:	
Institutional	Desponsibility is de	finad as parforming	assigned or presumed duties according to

Institutional Responsibility is defined as performing assigned or presumed duties according to one's role at the college. These activities support and advance the mission of Southern to enhance the effective functioning of the college, including the business processes (i.e., advising students, adherence to Southern policies and procedures, collegiality, administrative duties, departmental supervision or assigned college community leadership duties, additional duties as assigned). If an activity does not otherwise fit into Teaching, Scholarship, or Service, and the activity is jobrelated, then it should be counted in the Institutional Responsibility criterion.

Teaching is defined as responsibilities directly related to the instruction of students, including classroom instruction, supervision, and facilitation of capstone experiences. Evidence of the level of quality of instruction includes personal reflections about teaching, student evaluations (including statistical analysis of performance), peer evaluations, and curriculum/program/course/materials development.

Scholarship includes activities specifically associated with the faculty member's formally recognized area of expertise. It should be noted that scholarly involvement contributes to one's profession on a state, regional, national, or international level and advances the mission and goals of the college. Scholarship may be viewed broadly and goes well beyond the traditional forms of publication and presentation. Collaborative research and publication are valued and encouraged by colleagues and/or students. State and local presentations and publications are also of value.

Service is defined as contributions to the profession, institution, department, and community.

Overall Evaluation Score and Scale

Transfer the corresponding criterion score into the table below. Calculate the weight allocated to determine the weighted score for that criterion. Total the weighted scores for all criteria to determine the overall evaluation score.

	Score		Weighted Score
E. Classroom Observation		× 0.30	
F. Averaged Student Evaluations		× 0.05	
G. Institutional Responsibility		× 0.15	
H. Additional Criteria			
4. Teaching		× 0.20	
5. Scholarship		× 0.15	
6. Service		× 0.15	
Total			

Overall Rating Scale

3.50 - 4.00	Exceeds Expectations
2.50 - 3.49	Meets Expectations
1.50 - 2.49	Needs Improvement*
0.00 - 1.49	Unsatisfactory*

^{*} Requires Performance Improvement Plan

Employee Response to Evaluation Summary

The purpose of this form is to provide the employee being evaluated an opportunity to respond to statements made in the evaluation.

Employee Response:		
[] I do not wish to respond to the evaluation.		
Faculty Member Signature	Date	
Director Signature	Date	
Academic Dean Signature	——————————————————————————————————————	

Classroom Observation Form

Name:			Aca	demic Year:		
Title/Rank:			Evaluator: _			
Course Prefix/N	Tumber:	Date	of Visit:			
Location of Vis	it (Campus/Room	n, Off-Site, Zoom	,Online):			
Rating Scale:						
	4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory		

- **4 Exceeds Expectations:** Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.
- 3 **Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 Unsatisfactory: Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

$\textbf{LECTURE OBSERVATION CHECKLIST} \ (F2F, HyFlex, or Synchronous \ Online)$

4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve ment	1 Unsatisfactor		ory	
				4	3	2

	4	3	2	1
Class structure and format appear well organized				
Provides an overview of objectives for course topics being covered				
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter				
Utilizes multiple teaching techniques				
Involves students in the learning process through input, encouragement, and feedback				
Encourages classroom discussion and questions from students				
Employs other tools/instructional aids (i.e. technology, computer, video, PowerPoint, etc.)				

Total Score: / 7 =(Average Score)			
Evaluator Comments:			
Faculty Member Signature	Date		
Evaluator Signature	Date		

LABORATORY OBSERVATION CHECKLIST

4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory
		ment	

	4	3	2	1
Maintains an effective laboratory				
Develops and maintains adequate resource material				
Relates laboratory experience to lecture and/or clinicals				
Provides appropriate feedback to students				
Communicates appropriate safety protocols				
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter				
Explains concepts clearly				
Models proper laboratory techniques				
Directs student cleanup of laboratory equipment and workspace				

ONLINE COURSE OBSERVATION CHECKLIST (Asynchronous Online)

4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory
		ment	

			1	
	4	3	2	1
Course structure, including learning resources, assignments, and instructions are well organized and clearly stated				
Syllabus and learning objectives are available and clearly stated				
Demonstrates a thorough knowledge of the subject matter				
Sets clear expectations and due dates				
Responds to students in a timely and professional manner				
Actively participates in the course, encourages questions, and provides appropriate feedback				
Utilizes a variety of teaching tools and techniques appropriate for distance learning				
Provides resources and instructional materials that are accessible and usable				
Course is free of errors and dead links				

Total Score:/ 9 =	_(Average Score)
Evaluator Comments:	

Adjunct and Dual Credit Faculty Evaluation Form

(Due by the end of each semester)

Name:			Acad	demic Year:	
Title:			Evaluator: _		
Evaluation Cri	teria and Scale				
A. Classroom Observation B. Averaged Student Evaluations C. Institutional Responsibility <i>Total</i>		75% as 5% 20% 100%			
Rating Scale:					
	4 Exceeds Expectations	3 Meets Expectations	2 Needs Improve	1 Unsatisfactory	

4 – **Exceeds Expectations:** Performance is occasionally above the expected levels of competence for the review criterion. Expectations are met in all areas and, in some areas, are exceeded.

ment

- **3 Meets Expectations:** Performance consistently meets the expected level of competence for the review criterion. Work of a satisfactory nature is performed on a consistent basis with normal supervision, meeting all job expectations of the review criterion.
- **2 Needs Improvement**: Performance does not consistently meet all requirements of the review criterion. Overall performance is less than satisfactory for the review criterion. Where performance in some areas is satisfactory, improvement is needed in others.
- 1 **Unsatisfactory:** Performance is consistently poor or inadequate in meeting most or all requirements of the review criterion. Requires frequent, close supervision and/or the redoing of work. Few or no goals and objectives are met. Signifies the need for immediate improvement.

Evaluation Criteria:

A. Classroom Teaching Performance

Score:

Evaluator will attach the appropriate Classroom Observation Checklist, as well as a narrative and/or written comments regarding the classroom observation.

B. Averaged Student Evaluation Results

~	
Score:_	
BCUIC.	

Evaluator will attach written comments related to the faculty member's average student evaluation score for the previous two (2) semesters.

C. Institutional Responsibility

Score:	

Evaluator will attach a narrative and/or written comments on the faculty member's performance of assigned or presumed duties based on their role, including but not limited to adherence to policies and procedures, administrative duties, and other similar duties as assigned.

Institutional Responsibility is defined as performing assigned or presumed duties according to one's role at the college. These activities support and advance the mission of Southern to enhance the effective functioning of the college-including the business processes (i.e., advising students, adherence to Southern policies and procedures, collegiality, administrative duties, departmental supervision or assigned college community leadership duties, additional duties as assigned). If an activity does not otherwise fit into Teaching, Scholarship, or Service, and the activity is job-related, then it should be counted in the Institutional Responsibility criterion.

Overall Evaluation Score and Scale

Transfer the corresponding criterion score into the table below. Calculate the weight allocated to determine the weighted score for that criterion. Total the weighted scores for all criteria to determine the overall evaluation score.

			Weighted Score
	Score		Score
D. Classroom Observation		× 0.75	
E. Averaged Student Evaluations		× 0.05	
F. Institutional Responsibility		× 0.20	
Total			

Overall Rating Scale

3.50 - 4.00	Exceeds Expectations
2.50 - 3.49	Meets Expectations
1.50 - 2.49	Needs Improvement*
0.00 - 1.49	Unsatisfactory*

^{*} Requires Performance Improvement Plan

Employee Response to Evaluation Summary

The purpose of this form is to provide the employee being evaluated an opportunity to respond to statements made in the evaluation.

Employee Response:		
[] I do not wish to respond to the evaluation.		
Faculty Member Signature	Date	
Director Signature	Date	
Academic Dean Signature	Date	